arXiv:math/0110012v3 [math.AG] 16 Sep 2003

INEQUALITIES OF NOETHER TYPE FOR 3-FOLDS OF GENERAL TYPE

Meng Chen

Abstract. If X is a smooth complex projective 3-fold with ample canonical divisor K, then the inequality $K^3 \geq \frac{2}{3}(2p_g - 7)$ holds, where p_g denotes the geometric genus. This inequality is nearly sharp. We also give similar, but more complicated, inequalities for general minimal 3-folds of general type.

Introduction

Given a minimal surface S of general type, we have two famous inequalities, which play crucial roles in detailed analysis of surfaces. One is the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality $K_S^2 \leq 9\chi(S)$ ([M1], [Y1], [Y2]), while the other is the classical Noether inequality $K_S^2 \geq 2p_g - 4 \geq 2\chi(X) - 6$. The fundamental importance of these inequalities in mind, M. Reid asked in 1980s

Question 1. *What would be the right analogue of the Noether inequality in dimension three?*

Let X be a minimal threefold. If K_X is Cartier and very ample, then $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g - 6$ by Clifford's theorem applied to the intersection curve cut out by two general members of $|K_X|$. In 1992, Kobayashi [Kob] studied Gorenstein canonical 3-folds and obtained an effective, but partial, upper bound of K_X^3 in terms of $p_g(X)$ for such varieties. One of his discoveries is that too naive a generalization of the classical Noether inequality is in general false; there are a series of smooth projective 3-folds X with ample canonical divisor such that

$$K_X^3 = \frac{2}{3}(2p_g(X) - 5),\ (p_g(X) = 7,\ 10,\ 13, \cdots). \tag{0.1}$$

In what follows, we show that Kobayashi's examples indeed attain the minima of K_X^3, provided X is smooth and K_X is ample:

Corollary 2. *If X is a smooth complex projective 3-fold with ample canonical divisor. Then*

$$K_X^3 \geq \frac{2}{3}(2p_g(X) - 7).$$

When X is not necessarily smooth, we have the following

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14J30

Key words and phrases. Noether inequality, 3-folds of general type

This paper is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10131010), Shanghai Scientific & Technical Commission (Grant 01QA14042) and SRF for ROCS, SEM.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

Theorem 3. *Let X be a minimal projective 3-fold of general type (with only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities). Assume that $n+1 = p_g(X) \geq 2$ and let $\phi_1 : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be the canonical map. Then we have the following inequalities according to the dimension of $\phi_1(X)$:*

(1) $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 6$ if $\dim\phi_1(X) = 3$.

(2) $K_X^3 \geq p_g(X) - 2$ if $\dim\phi_1(X) = 2$ and $p_g(X) \geq 6$. If, in addition, a general fibre of ϕ_1 is a curve of genus≥ 3, then $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 4$.

(3) When ϕ_1 is a curve, let S be the minimal model of a general irreducible member of the movable part of $|K_X|$ and put $a = K_S^2$, $b = p_g(S)$. Assume $k = [\frac{1}{2}(p_g - 2)] \geq 4$, where $[x]$ stands for the round down of x. Then we have

$$K_X^3 \geq \begin{cases} min\{\frac{6k^2}{3k^2+8k+4} \cdot (p_g(X) - \frac{4}{3}), \frac{6k}{3k+4} \cdot (p_g(X) - \frac{5}{3})\}, & \text{if } (a,b) = (1,1) \\ \frac{k^2}{(k+1)^2} \cdot a \cdot (p_g(X) - 1), & \text{if } (a,b) \neq (1,1) \end{cases}$$

The intersection numbers between Weil divisors on singular surfaces are not necessarily integers, which causes difficulties to get optimal estimates in case (3).

Remark 4. We make extra assumptions on $p_g(X)$ in Theorem 3(2), 3(3) simply for getting better inequalities. Our method works also for the case $p_g(X) \geq 2$. Recall that the geometric genus of a surface of general type with $K_S^2 = 1$ is bounded by 2 from above. Furthermore, the surface in case (3) of the theorem has positive geometric genus. Hence Theorem 3 asserts that $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 6$ unless X is canonically fibred by curves of genus two in case (2) or by surfaces with $a = K_S^2 = 1$, $b = p_g(S) = 2$ in case (3).

When X is Gorenstein, we have the following theorem, which improves the results known so far:

Theorem 5. *Let X be a minimal projective Gorenstein 3-fold of general type with only locally factorial terminal singularities.*

(1) Assume that X is neither canonically fibred by surfaces S with $c_1(S)^2 = 1$, $p_g(S) = 2$ nor by curves of genus two. Then $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 6$.

(2) Assume that X is smooth and that X is not canonically fibred by surfaces S with $c_1(S)^2 = 1$, $p_g(S) = 2$. Then $K_X^3 \geq \frac{2}{3}(2p_g(X) - 5)$.

(3) Assume that the canonical model of X is factorial. If $K_X^3 < \frac{2}{21}(11p_g(X) - 16)$, then X is not smooth and is canonically fibred by curves of genus two.

These inequalities have a certain interesting application which will be presented in another note.

1. Preliminaries

1.1 Conventions. Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension d. We denote by $\mathrm{Div}(X)$ the group of Weil divisors on X. An element $D \in \mathrm{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is called a $\mathbb{Q}$-*divisor*. A $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D is said to be $\mathbb{Q}$-*Cartier* if mD is a Cartier divisor for some positive integer m. For a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D and an irreducible curve $C \subset X$, we can define the intersection number $D \cdot C$ in a natural way. A $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D is called *nef* (or *numerically effective*) if $D \cdot C \geq 0$ for any effective curve $C \subset X$. A nef divisor D is called *big* if $D^d > 0$. We say that X is $\mathbb{Q}$-*factorial* if every Weil divisor on X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. For a Weil divisor D on X, denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$

the corresponding reflexive sheaf. Denote by K_X a canonical divisor of X, which is a Weil divisor. X is called *minimal* if K_X is a nef $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor. X is said to be of general type if $\kappa(X) = \dim(X)$. We refer to [R1] for definitions of canonical and terminal singularities.

The symbols $\sim$, $\equiv$ and $=_{\mathbb{Q}}$ respectively stands for linear, numerical and $\mathbb{Q}$-linear equivalences.

1.2 Vanishing theorem. Let $D = \sum a_i D_i$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X, where the D_i are distinct prime divisors and $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. We define

the round-down $\llcorner D \lrcorner := \sum \llcorner a_i \lrcorner D_i$, where $\llcorner a_i \lrcorner$ is the integral part of a_i;
the round-up $\ulcorner D \urcorner := -\llcorner -D \lrcorner$;
the fractional part $\{D\} := D - \llcorner D \lrcorner$.

We always use the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem in the following form.

Vanishing Theorem. *(*[Ka1] *or* [V1]*) Let X be a smooth complete variety, $D \in \mathrm{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Assume the following two conditions:*
(i) D is nef and big;
(ii) the fractional part of D has supports with only normal crossings.
Then $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \ulcorner D \urcorner)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

Note that, when S is a surface, the above theorem is true without the condition (ii) according to Sakai ([S]) or Miyaoka ([M3, Proposition 2.3]) (also cited in [E-L, (1.2)]).

1.3 Set up for canonical maps. Let X be a projective minimal 3-fold with only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. Suppose $p_g(X) \geq 2$. We study the canonical map ϕ_1 which is usually a rational map. Take the birational modification $\pi : X' \longrightarrow X$, following Hironaka, such that
(1) X' is smooth;
(2) the movable part of $|K_{X'}|$ is base point free;
(3) $\pi^*(K_X)$ is linearly equivalent to a divisor supported by a divisor of normal crossings.

Denote by g the composition $\phi_1 \circ \pi$. So $g : X' \longrightarrow W' \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{p_g(X)-1}$ is a morphism. Let $g : X' \xrightarrow{f} W \xrightarrow{s} W'$ be the Stein factorization of g. We can write

$$K_{X'} =_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi^*(K_X) + E =_{\mathbb{Q}} S_1 + Z_1,$$

where S_1 is the movable part of $|K_{X'}|$, Z_1 the fixed part and E is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor which is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of distinct exceptional divisors. We can also write

$$\pi^*(K_X) =_{\mathbb{Q}} S_1 + E',$$

where $E' = Z_1 - E$ is actually an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor and so $\ulcorner \pi^*(K_X) \urcorner$ means $\ulcorner S_1 + E' \urcorner$. We note that $1 \leq \dim(W) \leq 3$.

If $\dim \phi_1(X) = 2$, we see that a general fiber of f is a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$. We say that X is *canonically fibred by curves of genus g*.

If $\dim \phi_1(X) = 1$, we see that a general fiber F of f is a smooth projective surface of general type. We say that X is *canonically fibred by surfaces* with invariants $(c_1^2, p_g) := (K_{F_0}^2, p_g(F))$, where F_0 is the minimal model of F.

2. Several simple lemmas

The following result is a direct application of an inequality on curves proved by Castelnuovo ([Cas]) and Beauville ([Be1]).

Lemma 2.1. *(*[Ch1, Proposition 2.1]*) Let S be a smooth projective algebraic surface and L an effective, nef and prime divisor on S. Suppose $(K_S - L) \cdot L \geq 0$ and $|L|$ defines a birational rational map onto its image. Then*

$$L^2 \geq 3h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(L)) - 7.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let S be a smooth projective surface of general type and L a nef divisor on S. The following holds.*

(i) Suppose that $|L|$ gives a non-birational, generically finite map onto its image. Then $L^2 \geq 2h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(L)) - 4$.

(ii) Suppose that there exists a linear subsystem $\Lambda \subset |L|$ such that Λ defines a generically finite map of degree d onto its image. Then $L^2 \geq d[\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\Lambda - 1]$ where $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\Lambda$ denotes the projective dimension of Λ.

Proof. (i) is a special case of (ii).

In order to prove (ii), we take blow-ups $\pi : S' \longrightarrow S$ such that $\Phi_{\pi^*\Lambda}$ gives a morphism. Let M be the movable part of $\pi^*\Lambda$. Then $h^0(S', M) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}\Lambda + 1$ and

$$M^2 \geq d(h^0(S', M) - 2).$$

Since $M \leq \pi^*(L)$, we get the inequality $L^2 \geq M^2 \geq d(\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\Lambda - 1)$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let C be a complete smooth algebraic curve. Suppose D is a divisor on C such that $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D)) \geq g(C) + 1$. Then $\deg(D) \geq 2g(C)$.*

Proof. This is a direct result by virtue of R-R and Clifford's theorem. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let S be a smooth minimal projective surface of general type. The following holds:*

(i) $|mK_S|$ is base point free for all $m \geq 4$;

(ii) $|3K_S|$ is base point free provided $K_S^2 \geq 2$;

(iii) $|3K_S|$ is base point free provided $p_g(S) > 0$ and $p_g(S) \neq 2$;

(iv) $|2K_S|$ is base point free provided $p_g(S) > 0$ or $K_S^2 \geq 5$.

Proof. Both (i) and (ii) can be derived from results of Bombieri ([Bo]) and Reider ([Rr]).

If $p_g(S) \geq 3$, then $K_S^2 \geq 2$ by Noether inequality. The base point freeness of $|3K_S|$ follows from (ii). If $K_S^2 = 1$ and $p_g(S) = 1$, $|3K_S|$ is base point free by [Cat1]. If $K_S^2 = 1$ and $p_g(S) = 2$, $|3K_S|$ definitely has base points. So (iii) is true.

(iv) follows from [Ci, Theorem 3.1] and Reider's theorem. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let S be a smooth projective surface of general type. Let $\sigma : S \longrightarrow S_0$ be the contraction onto the minimal model. Suppose that there is an effective irreducible curve C on S such that $C \leq \sigma^*(2K_{S_0})$ and $h^0(S, C) = 2$. If $K_{S_0}^2 = p_g(S) = 1$, then $C \cdot \sigma^*(K_{S_0}) \geq 2$.*

Proof. We may assume that $|C|$ is a free pencil. Otherwise, we blow-up S at base points of $|C|$. Denote $C_1 := \sigma(C)$. Then $h^0(S_0, C_1) \geq 2$. Suppose $C \cdot \sigma^*(K_{S_0}) = 1$.

Then $C_1 \cdot K_{S_0} = 1$. Because $p_a(C_1) \geq 2$, we see that $C_1^2 > 0$. From $K_{S_0}(K_{S_0} - C_1) = 0$, we get $(K_{S_0} - C_1)^2 \leq 0$, i.e. $C_1^2 \leq 1$. Thus $C_1^2 = 1$ and $K_{S_0} \equiv C_1$. This means $K_{S_0} \sim C_1$ by virtue of [Cat1], which is impossible because $p_g(S) = 1$. So $C \cdot \sigma^*(K_{S_0}) \geq 2$. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *(*[Ch4, Lemma 2.7]*) Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension ≥ 2. Let D be a divisor on X such that $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \geq 2$. Let S be a smooth prime divisor on X and assume that S is not contained in the fixed part of $|D|$. Denote by M the movable part of $|D|$ and by N the movable part of $|D|_S|$ on S. If the natural restriction map*

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \xrightarrow{\theta} H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(D|_S))$$

is surjective, then $M|_S \geq N$ and, in particular,

$$h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(M|_S)) = h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(N)) = h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(D|_S)).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 3

We give estimates of K_X^3 according to the dimension of the canonical image $\phi_1(X)$. Let the notation be as in (1.3) throughout this section. Thus S_1 is a general member of the movable part of $|\pi^*(K_X)|$ on a resolution of the indeterminacy of ϕ_1.

The first case is $\dim\phi_1(X) = 3$. Kobayashi ([Kob]) proved

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a projective minimal algebraic 3-fold of general type with only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. Suppose* $\dim\phi_1(X) = 3$. *Then*

$$K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 6.$$

Proof. We give a very simple proof of this result in order to keep this note self-contained.

In this situation, a general member $S_1 \in |S_1|$ is a smooth irreducible projective surface of general type. Because K_X is nef and big, we have $K_X^3 = \pi^*(K_X)^3 \geq S_1^3$. Denote $L := S_1|_{S_1}$. Then L is a nef and big divisor on S_1 and $|L|$ defines a generically finite map onto its image. It is obvious that

$$h^0(S_1, L) \geq h^0(X', S_1) - 1 = p_g(X) - 1.$$

Note also that $p_g(X) \geq 4$ under the assumption of this proposition.

If $|L|$ gives a birational map, then, by Lemma 2.1,

$$L^2 \geq 3h^0(S_1, L) - 7 \geq 3p_g(X) - 10 \geq 2p_g(X) - 6.$$

If $|L|$ gives a non-birational rational map, then, by Lemma 2.2,

$$L^2 \geq 2h^0(S_1, L) - 4 \geq 2p_g(X) - 6.$$

Therefore $K_X^3 \geq S_1^3 = L^2 \geq 2p_g(X) - 6$. The proof is complete. $\square$

The second case is $\dim\phi_1(X) = 2$. The general member S_1 is an irreducible smooth surface of general type. The canonical map gives a fibration $f : X' \longrightarrow W$, and we let C denote its general fiber, which is a smooth curve of genus ≥ 2.

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a projective minimal algebraic 3-fold of general type with only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. Suppose* $\dim\phi_1(X) = 2$ *and* $p_g(X) \geq 6$. *Then either $g(C) \geq 3$ and $K_X^3 \geq \frac{2}{3}g(C)(p_g(X)-2)$ or C is a curve of genus 2 and $K_X^3 \geq p_g(X) - 2$.*

Proof. We prove the proposition through several steps.

Step 1 (bounding K_X^3 in terms of (L_1, C)). Recall that we have $\pi^*(K_X) =_{\mathbb{Q}} S_1 + E'$, where E' is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Put $L_1 := \pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1}$ and $L := S_1|_{S_1}$. Then L_1 is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on the surface S_1 and $|L|$ is composed of a free pencil of curves on S_1. It is obvious that $L_1^2 \geq L_1 \cdot L$. We can write

$$L = S_1|_{S_1} \sim \sum_{i=1}^{a} C_i \equiv aC,$$

where $a \geq h^0(S_1, L) - 1 \geq p_g(X) - 2$ and the $C_i's$ are fibers of f contained in the surface S_1. Thus we see that

$$K_X^3 = \pi^*(K_X)^3 \geq L_1^2 \geq L_1 \cdot L \geq (L_1 \cdot C) \cdot (p_g(X) - 2),$$

and we get a lower bound of K_X^3 by giving an estimate of $(L_1 \cdot C)$ from below.

Step 2 (the generic finiteness of the tricanonical map ϕ_3). Look at the sublinear system

$$|K_{X'} + \ulcorner \pi^*(K_X) \urcorner + S_1| \subset |3K_{X'}|.$$

We claim that ϕ_3 is generically finite whenever $p_g(X) \geq 4$. We only have to prove that $\phi_3|_{S_1}$ is generically finite for a general member S_1. By the vanishing theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{X'} + \ulcorner \pi^*(K_X) \urcorner + S_1| \,\big|_{S_1} &= \big| \, K_{S_1} + \ulcorner \pi^*(K_X) \urcorner|_{S_1} \, \big| \\ &\supset \big| \, K_{S_1} + \ulcorner \pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1} \urcorner \, \big| \, . \end{aligned}$$

We want to prove that $\Phi_{|K_{S_1} + \ulcorner \pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1} \urcorner|}$ is generically finite. Because $K_{S_1} + \ulcorner \pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1} \urcorner \geq L$, we see that $|K_{S_1} + \ulcorner \pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1} \urcorner|$ separates different fibers of $\Phi_{|L|}$. So we only have to verify that $\Phi_{|K_{S_1} + \ulcorner \pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1} \urcorner|}|_C$ is finite for an arbitrary smooth fiber C of f contained in S_1. We have

$$L_1 \equiv L + E_{\mathbb{Q}} \equiv aC + E_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where $a \geq p_g(X) - 2 \geq 2$ and $E_{\mathbb{Q}} := E'|_{S_1}$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on S_1. Thus

$$L_1 - C - \frac{1}{a}E_{\mathbb{Q}} \equiv (1 - \frac{1}{a})L_1$$

is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Using the vanishing theorem again, we get

$$H^1(S_1, K_{S_1} + \ulcorner L_1 - \frac{1}{a}E_{\mathbb{Q}} \urcorner - C) = 0.$$

This means that $|K_{S_1} + \ulcorner L_1 - \frac{1}{a}E_{\mathbb{Q}} \urcorner| \,\big|_C = |K_C + D|$, where $D := \ulcorner L_1 - \frac{1}{a}E_{\mathbb{Q}} \urcorner|_C$ is a divisor on C with positive degree. Because $g(C) \geq 2$, the linear system $|K_C + D|$ gives a finite map, implying the generic finiteness of ϕ_3.

Step 3 (Estimation of $(L_1 \cdot C)$). Since $|3K_{X'}|$ gives a generically finite map, so does $\left| M_3|_{S_1} \right|$ on the surface S_1, where M_3 is the movable part of $|3K_{X'}|$. Thus $\Phi_{|M_3|_{S_1}|}$ maps general C of genus ≥ 2 to a curve and hence $M_3|_{S_1} \cdot C \geq 2$. Noting that $3\pi^*(K_X) =_{\mathbb{Q}} M_3 + E_3$ where E_3 is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, we see that

$$3\pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1} \cdot C \geq M_3|_{S_1} \cdot C \geq 2,$$

i.e., $L_1 \cdot C \geq \frac{2}{3}$. From this crude initial estimate, we derive a better one. To do this, we run a recursive program (the *α-program*) below.

Pick up a positive integer α. We have

$$|K_{X'} + \ulcorner \alpha\pi^*(K_X) \urcorner + S_1| \subset |(\alpha+2)K_{X'}|.$$

The vanishing theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{X'} + \ulcorner \alpha\pi^*(K_X) \urcorner + S_1| \left|_{S_1} \right. &= \left| K_{S_1} + \ulcorner \alpha\pi^*(K_X) \urcorner|_{S_1} \right| \\ &\supset |K_{S_1} + \ulcorner \alpha L_1 \urcorner|. \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\alpha L_1 - C - \frac{1}{a}E_{\mathbb{Q}} \equiv (\alpha - \frac{1}{a})L_1$ is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Using the vanishing theorem on S_1 again, we get

$$|K_{S_1} + \ulcorner \alpha L_1 - \frac{1}{a}E_{\mathbb{Q}} \urcorner| \left|_C \right. = |K_C + D_\alpha|, \tag{3.1}$$

where $D_\alpha := \ulcorner \alpha L_1 - \frac{1}{a}E_{\mathbb{Q}} \urcorner|_C$ with $\deg(D_\alpha) \geq \ulcorner (\alpha - \frac{1}{a})L_1 \cdot C \urcorner$. We have to use several symbols in order to obtain our result. Let $M_{\alpha+2}$ be the movable part of $|(\alpha+2)K_{X'}|$. Let $M'_{\alpha+2}$ be the movable part of

$$|K_{X'} + \ulcorner \alpha\pi^*(K_X) \urcorner + S_1|.$$

Clearly we have $M'_{\alpha+2} \leq M_{\alpha+2}$. Let N_α be the movable part of $|K_{S_1} + \ulcorner \alpha L_1 \urcorner|$. Then it is easy to see $M'_{\alpha+2}|_{S_1} \geq N_\alpha$ by Lemma 2.6. So

$$(\alpha+2)L_1 \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} M_{\alpha+2}|_{S_1} \geq M'_{\alpha+2}|_{S_1} \geq N_\alpha.$$

Let N'_α be the movable part of $|K_{S_1} + \ulcorner \alpha L_1 - \frac{1}{a}E_{\mathbb{Q}} \urcorner|$. Then obviously $N_\alpha \geq N'_\alpha$. From (3.1) and Lemma 2.6, we have $h^0(C, N'_\alpha|_C) = h^0(C, K_C + D_\alpha)$. Thus we see that

$$h^0(C, N_\alpha|_C) \geq h^0(C, N'_\alpha|_C) = h^0(C, K_C + D_\alpha).$$

Now take $\alpha = 2$ and run the α-program. We get $4L_1 \cdot C \geq N_2 \cdot C$. Because $a > 3$ under the assumption, we see that $\deg(D_2) \geq \ulcorner (2 - \frac{1}{a})\frac{2}{3} \urcorner = 2$. Thus $h^0(C, N_2|_C) \geq g(C) + 1$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $N_2 \cdot C \geq 2g(C)$. If $g(C) = 2$, we get $L_1 \cdot C \geq 1$ and thus the inequality $K_X^3 \geq p_g(X) - 2$. If $g(C) \geq 3$, we get $L_1 \cdot C \geq \frac{3}{2}$. This is a better bound than the initial one. However this is not enough to derive our statement. We have to optimize our estimation.

Step 4 (Optimization). As has been seen in the previous step, we have $L_1 \cdot C \geq \frac{3}{2}$ when $g \geq 3$. We take $\alpha = 1$ now and run the α-program. Since $p_g(X) \geq 6$, we have $a \geq 4$. Thus

$$\deg(D_1) \geq \ulcorner (1 - \frac{1}{a})\frac{3}{2} \urcorner = 2.$$

So $h^0(C, N_1|_C) \geq g(C) + 1$. Therefore we get, by Lemma 2.3, that

$$3L_1 \cdot C \geq N_1 \cdot C \geq 2g(C) \geq 6 \text{ whenever } g(C) \geq 3.$$

This means $L_1 \cdot C \geq 2$, which is what we want. So we have the inequality

$$K_X^3 \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot g(C) \cdot (p_g(X) - 2) \tag{3.2}$$

whenever $g(C) \geq 3$. The proof is complete. □

The last case is $\dim\phi_1(X) = 1$. The canonical map gives a fibration $f : X' \longrightarrow W$ where W is a smooth projective curve. Denote $b := g(W)$. We see that a general fiber F of f is a smooth projective surface of general type. Let $\sigma : F \longrightarrow F_0$ be the contraction onto the minimal model. Note that we always have $p_g(F) > 0$ in this situation. We also have $S_1 \sim \sum_{i=1}^{b_1} F_i \equiv b_1 F$, where the $F_i's$ are fibers of f and $b_1 \geq p_g(X) - 1$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a projective minimal algebraic 3-fold of general type with only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. Suppose* $\dim\phi_1(X) = 1$. *Let $k \geq 4$ be an integer and assume that $p_g(X) \geq 2k + 2$. Then $K_X^3 \geq \frac{k^2}{(k+1)^2} \cdot K_{F_0}^2 \cdot (p_g(X) - 1)$.*

Proof. The proof proceeds through two steps.

Step 1 (bounding K_X^3 in terms of L^2). On the surface F, we denote $L := \pi^*(K_X)|_F$. Then L is an effective nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Because $\pi^*(K_X) \equiv b_1 F + E'$ with E' effective, we get

$$K_X^3 = \pi^*(K_X)^3 \geq (\pi^*(K_X)^2 \cdot F) \cdot (p_g(X) - 1) = L^2 \cdot (p_g(X) - 1).$$

So the main point is to estimate L^2 from below in order to prove the proposition.

Step 2 (bounding L^2 from below by studying the $(k+1)$-canonical map ϕ_{k+1}). Let M_{k+1} be the movable part of $|(k+1)K_{X'}|$. Then we may write

$$(k+1)\pi^*(K_X) =_{\mathbb{Q}} M_{k+1} + E_{k+1}$$

where E_{k+1} is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Therefore we see that $(k+1)L \geq_{\text{num}} M_{k+1}|_F$. Let N_k be the movable part of $|kK_F|$. According to Lemma 2.4, $|kK_{F_0}|$ is base point free. Thus $N_k = \sigma^*(kK_{F_0})$. We claim that $M_{k+1}|_F \geq N_k$. Then $(k+1)L \geq N_k$ and we get

$$L^2 \geq \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} N_k^2 = \frac{k^2}{(k+1)^2} K_{F_0}^2.$$

So we have the inequality

$$K_X^3 \geq \frac{k^2}{(k+1)^2} \cdot K_{F_0}^2 \cdot (p_g(X) - 1). \tag{3.3}$$

Now we prove the claim. In fact, ϕ_1 is a morphism if $b > 0$. In this case, we do not need any modification and $f : X' = X \longrightarrow W$ is a fibration. A general fiber F is a smooth projective surface of general type, because the singularities on X are isolated. Furthermore F is minimal because K_X is nef. By Kawamata's

vanishing theorem for $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier Weil divisor ([KMM]), we have $H^1(X, kK_X) = 0$. This means $|kK_X + F| \big|_F = |kK_F|$. Noting that $F \leq K_X$ and using Lemma 2.6, we see that the claim is true in this case.

We then consider the case with $b = 0$. We use the approach in [Kol, Corollary 4.8] to prove it. The canonical map gives a fibration $f : X' \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Because $p_g(X) \geq 2k + 2$, we see that $\mathcal{O}(2k + 1) \hookrightarrow f_*\omega_{X'}$. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes f_*\omega^k_{X'/\mathbb{P}^1} = \mathcal{O}(2k + 1) \otimes f_*\omega^k_{X'} \hookrightarrow f_*\omega^{k+1}_{X'}.$$

Note that $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, f_*\omega^{k+1}_{X'}) \cong H^0(X', \omega^{k+1}_{X'})$. It is well known that $\mathcal{E}$ is generated by global sections and that $f_*\omega^k_{X'/\mathbb{P}^1}$ is a sum of line bundles with non-negative degree (cf. [F], [V2, V3]). Thus the global sections of $\mathcal{E}$ separates different fibers of f. On the other hand, the local sections of $f_*\omega^k_{X'}$ give the k-canonical map of F and these local sections can be extended to global sections of $\mathcal{E}$. This essentially means $M_{k+1}|_F \geq N_k$. $\square$

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a projective minimal algebraic 3-fold of general type with only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. Suppose that* $\dim\phi_1(X) = 1$. *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and assume $p_g(X) \geq 2k + 2$. If $(K^2_{F_0}, p_g(F)) = (1, 1)$, then*

$$K^3_X \geq min\{\frac{6k^2}{3k^2 + 8k + 4} \cdot (p_g(X) - \frac{4}{3}), \frac{6k}{3k + 4} \cdot (p_g(X) - \frac{5}{3})\}.$$

Proof. From Step 2 in the proof of Proposition 3.3, we have shown that

$$(k + 1)\pi^*(K_X)|_F \geq M_{k+1}|_F \geq k\sigma^*(K_{F_0}).$$

(Although we suppose $k \geq 4$ in Proposition 3.3, the case with $k = 3$ can be parallelly treated since $|3K_{F_0}|$ is base point free for a surface with $(K^2_{F_0}, p_g(F)) = (1, 1)$.)

The canonical map derives a fibration $f : X' \longrightarrow W$. Because $q(F) = 0$, we have

$$q(X) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{X'}) = b + h^1(W, R^1f_*\omega_{X'}) = b,$$

$$\begin{aligned} h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) &= h^1(W, f_*\omega_{X'}) + h^0(W, R^1f_*\omega_{X'}) \\ &= h^1(W, f_*\omega_{X'}) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ when $b = 0$, since $f_*\omega_{X'}$ is a line bundle of positive degree. Anyway, we have $q(X) - h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 0$. Thus we get

$$\chi(\omega_X) = p_g(X) + q(X) - h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) - 1 \geq p_g(X) - 1.$$

By the plurigenus formula of Reid ([R1]), we have

$$P_2(X) \geq \frac{1}{2}K^3_X - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq \frac{1}{2}K^3_X + 3[p_g(X) - 1]. \tag{3.4}$$

Let M_2 be the movable part of $|2K_{X'}|$. We consider the natural restriction map γ:

$$H^0(X', M_2) \xrightarrow{\gamma} V_2 \subset H^0(F, M_2|_F) \subset H^0(F, 2K_F),$$

where V_2 is the image of γ as a $\mathbb{C}$-subspace of $H^0(F, M_2|_F)$. Because $h^0(2K_F) = 3$, we see that $1 \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_2 \leq 3$. Denote by Λ_2 the linear system corresponding toV_2. We have $\dim\Lambda_2 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_2 - 1$.

Case 1. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_2 = 3$.

Since Λ_2 is a sub-system of $|2K_F|$, we see that the restriction of $\phi_{2,X'}$ to F is exactly the bicanonical map of F. Because $\phi_{2,F}$ is a generically finite morphism of degree 4, $\phi_{2,X'}$ is also a generically finite map of degree 4. Let $S_2 \in |M_2|$ be a general member. We can further modify π such that $|M_2|$ is base point free. Then S_2 is a smooth projective irreducible surface of general type. On the surface S_2, denote $L_2 := S_2|_{S_2}$. L_2 is a nef and big divisor. We have

$$2\pi^*(K_X)|_{S_2} \geq S_2|_{S_2} = L_2.$$

We consider the natural map

$$H^0(X', S_2) \xrightarrow{\gamma'} \overline{V_2} \subset H^0(S_2, L_2),$$

where $\overline{V_2}$ is the image of γ'. Denote by $\overline{\Lambda_2}$ the linear system corresponding to $\overline{V_2}$. Because ϕ_2 is generically finite map of degree 4, we see that $|L_2|$ has a sub-system $\overline{\Lambda_2}$ which gives a generically finite map of degree 4. By Lemma 2.2(ii), we get $L_2^2 \geq 4(\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{\Lambda_2} - 1) \geq 4(P_2(X) - 3)$. Therefore we have

$$K_X^3 \geq \frac{1}{8}L_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}(P_2(X) - 3) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}K_X^3 + 3p_g(X) - 6).$$

Therefore

$$K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 4. \tag{3.5}$$

Case 2. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_2 = 2$.

In this case, $\dim\phi_2(F) = 1$ and $\dim\phi_2(X) = 2$. We may further modify π such that $|M_2|$ is base point free. Taking the Stein factorization of ϕ_2, we get a derived fibration $f_2 : X' \longrightarrow W_2$ where W_2 is a surface. Let C be a general fiber of f_2. we see that F is naturally fibred by curves with the same numerical type as C. On the surface F, we have a free pencil $\Lambda_2 \subset |2K_F|$. Let $|C_0|$ be the movable part of Λ_2. Then $h^0(F, C_0) = 2$. Because $q(F) = 0$, we see that $|C_0|$ is a pencil over the rational curve. So a general member of $|C_0|$ is an irreducible curve. According to Lemma 2.5, we have $(C_0 \cdot \sigma^*(K_{F_0}))_F \geq 2$ whence

$$(\pi^*(K_X) \cdot C)_{X'} = (\pi^*(K_X)|_F \cdot C_0)_F \geq \frac{k}{k+1}(\sigma^*(K_{F_0}) \cdot C_0)_F \geq \frac{2k}{k+1}.$$

Now we study on the surface S_2. We may write

$$S_2|_{S_2} \sim \sum_{i=1}^{a_2} C_i \equiv a_2 C,$$

where the $C_i's$ are fibers of f_2 and $a_2 \geq P_2(X) - 2$. Noting that

$$(\pi^*(K_X)|_{S_2} \cdot C)_{S_2} = (\pi^*(K_X) \cdot C)_{X'} \geq \frac{2k}{k+1}$$

and $2\pi^*(K_X)|_{S_2} \geq S_2|_{S_2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 4K_X^3 &\geq 2\pi^*(K_X)^2 \cdot S_2 = 2(\pi^*(K_X)|_{S_2})^2_{S_2} \\ &\geq a_2(\pi^*(K_X)|_{S_2} \cdot C)_{S_2} \geq \frac{2k}{k+1}(P_2(X)-2) \\ &\geq \frac{2k}{k+1}(\frac{1}{2}K_X^3 + 3p_g(X) - 5). \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently

$$K_X^3 \geq \frac{6k}{3k+4}p_g(X) - \frac{10k}{3k+4}. \tag{3.6}$$

Case 3. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_2 = 1$.

In this case, $\dim\phi_2(X) = 1$. Because $p_g(X) > 0$, we see that both ϕ_2 and ϕ_1 give the same fibration $f : X' \longrightarrow W$ after taking the Stein factorization of them. So we may write

$$2\pi^*(K_X) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{a_2'} F_i + E_2' \equiv a_2'F + E_2',$$

where the $F_i's$ are fibers of f, E_2' is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, $a_2' \geq P_2(X) - 1$ and F is a surface with $(K^2_{F_0}, p_g(F)) = (1, 1)$. So we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2K_X^3 &\geq a_2'(\pi^*(K_X)|_F)^2_F \geq \frac{k^2}{(k+1)^2}(P_2(X)-1) \\ &\geq \frac{k^2}{(k+1)^2}(\frac{1}{2}K_X^3 + 3p_g(X) - 4). \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently

$$K_X^3 \geq \frac{6k^2}{3k^2+8k+4}p_g(X) - \frac{8k^2}{3k^2+8k+4}. \tag{3.7}$$

Comparing (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we get the inequality. □

Propositions 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 imply Theorem 3.

4. Inequalities for minimal Gorenstein 3-folds

This section is devoted to study lower bounds for K_X^3 of Gorenstein 3-folds. Let X be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type with only locally factorial terminal singularities. It is well known that K_X^3 is a positive even integer and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$. We also have the Miyaoka-Yau inequality ([M2]): $K_X^3 \leq -72\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Besides, after taking a special birational modification to X according to Reid ([R2]) while using a result of Miyaoka ([M2]), we get the plurigenus formula as follows.

$$P_m(X) = (2m-1)(\frac{m(m-1)}{12}K_X^3 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)). \tag{4.1}$$

The following theorem improves [Kob, Main Theorem], where we use the same notations as in previous sections.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type with only locally factorial terminal singularities. Then we have*

(i) If $\dim\phi_1(X) = 3$, *then* $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 6$.

(ii) If $\dim\phi_1(X) = 2$, *i.e., X is canonically fibered by curves of genus g, then*

$$K_X^3 \geq \ulcorner \frac{2}{3}(g-1) \urcorner (p_g(X) - 2).$$

(iii) If $\dim\phi_1(X) = 1$, *then either* $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 4$ *or* $(K_{F_0}^2, p_g(F)) = (1, 2)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, it is sufficient to study the cases $\dim\phi_1(X) < 3$.

Case 1. $\dim\phi_1(X) = 2$.

The canonical map gives a fibration $f : X' \longrightarrow W$, where a general fiber C is a smooth curve of genus g. If $g = 2$, our inequality is $K_X^3 \geq p_g(X) - 2$, which is trivially true. Now we assume $g \geq 3$. Denote $L := \pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1}$, which is a nef and big Cartier divisor. Let $S_1 \in |M_1|$ be a general member. Then S_1 is a smooth projective surface of general type. Noting that $|S_1|_{S_1}|$ is composed of a free pencil of curves with the same numerical type as C, we have

$$\pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1} \equiv aC + E_2,$$

where E_2 is effective and $a \geq p_g(X) - 2$, and we immediately see

$$K_X^3 \geq (L \cdot C)(p_g(X) - 2).$$

Thus it is sufficient to bound $(L \cdot C)$ from below.

We run once more a recursive program (the *β-program*) which is essentially similar to the *α-program*. There is, however, a minor difference between them. Pick up a positive integer β. Obviously, we have

$$|K_{X'} + \beta\pi^*(K_X) + S_1| \subset |(\beta + 2)K_{X'}|.$$

The vanishing theorem gives

$$|K_{X'} + \beta\pi^*(K_X) + S_1| \,\big|_{S_1} = |K_{S_1} + \beta L|.$$

We have $L \geq C$. If $\beta > 1$, then we have

$$|K_{S_1} + (\beta - 1)L + C| \,\big|_C = |K_C + D_\beta|,$$

where $D_\beta := (\beta - 1)L|_C$. Let $M_{\beta+2}$ be the movable part of $|(\beta+2)K_{X'}|$ and $M'_{\beta+2}$ be the movable part of $|K_{X'} + \beta\pi^*(K_X) + S_1|$. Then $M_{\beta+2} \geq M'_{\beta+2}$. Let N_β be the movable part of $|K_{S_1} + (\beta - 1)L + C|$. Then, by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$(\beta + 2)L \geq M_{\beta+2}|_{S_1} \geq M'_{\beta+2}|_{S_1} \geq N_\beta.$$

Also by Lemma 2.6, we have $h^0(C, N_\beta|_C) = h^0(K_C + D_\beta)$. If $\deg(D_\beta) = (\beta - 1)(L \cdot C) \geq 2$, then

$$h^0(C, N_\beta|_C) = g - 1 + (\beta - 1)(L \cdot C).$$

Using R-R again and Clifford's theorem, we see that $h^1(C, N_\beta|_C) = 0$ and

$$(\beta+2)(L\cdot C) \geq N_\beta \cdot C = 2g-2+(\beta-1)(L\cdot C).$$

We get the inequality

$$L\cdot C \geq \frac{2g-2+(\beta-1)(L\cdot C)}{\beta+2}. \tag{4.2}$$

Now take $\beta = 3$. Then $\deg(D_3) \geq 2$. According to (4.2), we see $L\cdot C > 1$, i.e. $L\cdot C \geq 2$. From now on, we can constantly take $\beta = 2$. we see that $\deg(D_2) \geq 2$. So (4.2) becomes $L\cdot C \geq \frac{2g-2}{3}$. This means $L\cdot C \geq \ulcorner \frac{2}{3}(g-1)\urcorner$.

Case 2. $\dim\phi_1(X) = 1$.

In this case, the canonical map derives a fibration $f : X' \longrightarrow W$ onto a smooth curve W where a general fiber F of f is a smooth irreducible surface of general type. We have $\pi^*(K_X) = S_1 + E'$ and $S_1 \equiv b_1 F$, where $b_1 \geq p_g(X)-1$. Denote $\overline{S} = \pi(S_1)$ and $\overline{F} = \pi(F)$. Then $\overline{S} \equiv b_1\overline{F}$. Because $\overline{F}^2$ is pseudo-effective, $K_X\cdot\overline{F}^2 \geq 0$. Note that $K_X\cdot\overline{F}^2$ is an even integer.

If $K_X\cdot\overline{F}^2 > 0$, then we have $K_X^2\cdot\overline{F} \geq 2(p_g(X)-1)$ and thus $K_X^3 \geq 2(p_g(X)-1)^2$.

If $K_X\cdot\overline{F}^2 = 0$, then $\mathcal{O}_F(\pi^*(K_X)|_F) \cong \mathcal{O}_F(\sigma^*(K_{F_0}))$ by a trivial generalization of [Ch3, Lemma 2.3]. Thus we always have

$$\begin{aligned} K_X^3 = \pi^*(K_X)^3 &\geq (\pi^*(K_X)^2\cdot F)(p_g(X)-1) \\ &= \sigma^*(K_{F_0})^2(p_g(X)-1) \geq 2(p_g(X)-1) \end{aligned}$$

whenever $K_{F_0}^2 \geq 2$.

When $K_{F_0}^2 = 1$, the only possibility is $1 \leq p_g(F) \leq 2$. We can prove that $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X)-4$ if $(K_{F_0}^2, p_g(F)) = (1,1)$. In fact, this is the special case of Proposition 3.4 and the estimation here is more exact since X is Gorenstein. The main point is that we have $\pi^*(K_X)|_F \sim \sigma^*(K_{F_0})$. We see from the proof of Proposition 3.4 that (3.5) is still as $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X)-4$, that (3.6) corresponds to $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X)-3\frac{1}{3}$ and that (3.7) will be replaced by $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X)-2\frac{2}{3}$. $\square$

From Theorem 4.1, one sees that bad cases possibly occur when X is canonically fibered by curves of genus 2 or by surfaces with invariants $(c_1^2, p_g) = (1,2)$. For technical reasons, we are only able to treat a nonsingular 3-fold. One needs a new method to cover singular 3-folds.

Now suppose that X is a smooth projective 3-fold. Let $\overline{M}$ be a divisor on X such that $h^0(X,\overline{M}) \geq 2$ and that $|\overline{M}|$ has base points but no fixed part. By Hironaka's theorem ([Hi]), we may take successive blow-ups

$$\pi : X' = X_n \overset{\pi_n}{\to} X_{n-1} \to \cdots \to X_i \overset{\pi_i}{\to} X_{i-1} \to \cdots \to X_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\to} X_0 = X$$

such that

(i) π_i is a single blow-up along smooth center W_i on X_{i-1} for all i;

(ii) W_i is contained in the base locus of the movable part of

$$|(\pi_1\circ\pi_2\circ\cdots\circ\pi_{i-1})^*(\overline{M})|$$

and thus W_i is a reduced closed point or a smooth projective curve on X_{i-1};

(iii) the movable part of $|\pi^*(\overline{M})|$ has no base points.

It is clear that the resulting 3-fold X' is still smooth. Let E_i be the exceptional divisor on X' corresponding to W_i. Then we may write

$$K_{X'} = \pi^*(K_X) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i E_i, \quad \pi^*(\overline{M}) = M + \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i E_i,$$

where $a_i,\ e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_i \geq 0$ and M is the movable part of $|\pi^*(\overline{M})|$. From the definition of π, we see $e_i > 0$ for all i.

Lemma 4.2. *$a_i \leq 2e_i$ for all i.*

Proof. We prove the simple lemma by induction. Denote by M_i the strict transform of $\overline{M}$ in X_i for all i. Let $E_i^{(i)}$ be the exceptional divisor on X_i corresponding to W_i. Let $E_i^{(j)}$ be the strict transform of $E_i^{(i)}$ in X_j for $j > i$.

For $i = 1$, we have

$$K_{X_1} = \pi_1^*(K_X) + a_1^{(1)} E_1^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1^*(\overline{M}) = M_1 + e_1^{(1)} E_1^{(1)}.$$

From the definition of π_1, we know that $e_1^{(1)} \geq 1$. Note that $a_1^{(1)}$ is computable. In fact, $a_1^{(1)} = 2$ if W_1 is a reduced smooth point of X; $a_1^{(1)} = 1$ if W_1 is a smooth curve on X. Clearly, we have $a_1^{(1)} \leq 2e_1^{(1)}$.

For $i = n - 1$, we have

$$K_{X_{n-1}} = (\pi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{n-1})^*(K_X) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{(n-1)} E_i^{(n-1)}$$

$$(\pi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{n-1})^*(\overline{M}) = M_{n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i^{(n-1)} E_i^{(n-1)}.$$

Suppose we have already had $a_i^{(n-1)} \leq 2e_i^{(n-1)}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_{X_n} &= \pi_n^*(K_{X_{n-1}}) + a_n^{(n)} E_n^{(n)} \\ &= \pi^*(K_X) + \pi_n^* \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{(n-1)} E_i^{(n-1)} + a_n^{(n)} E_n^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^*(\overline{M}) &= \pi_n^*(M_{n-1}) + \pi_n^* \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i^{(n-1)} E_i^{(n-1)} \\ &= M + \pi_n^* \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i^{(n-1)} E_i^{(n-1)} + e_n^{(n)} E_n^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Because π_n is also a single blow-up, we see similarly that $a_n^{(n)} \leq 2e_n^{(n)}$. Note that $E_n^{(n)} = E_n$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i E_i = \pi_n^* \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{(n-1)} E_i^{(n-1)} + a_n^{(n)} E_n;$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i E_i = \pi_n^* \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i^{(n-1)} E_i^{(n-1)} + e_n^{(n)} E_n.$$

We see that $a_i \leq 2e_i$. The proof is complete. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *Let X be a projective minimal smooth 3-fold of general type. Suppose* $\dim\phi_1(X) = 2$ *and X is canonically fibred by curves of genus* 2*. Then*

$$K_X^3 \geq \frac{1}{3}(4p_g(X) - 10).$$

The inequality is sharp.

Proof. We keep the same notations as in 1.3 and in Case 1 of the proof of Theorem 4.1. Set $K_X \sim \overline{M} + \overline{Z}$, where $\overline{M}$ is the movable part of $|K_X|$ and $\overline{Z}$ is the fixed part. We may take the same successive blow-ups

$$\pi : X' = X_n \stackrel{\pi_n}{\to} X_{n-1} \to \cdots \to X_i \stackrel{\pi_i}{\to} X_{i-1} \to \cdots \to X_1 \stackrel{\pi_1}{\to} X_0 = X$$

as in the set up for Lemma 4.2.

Let $g = \phi_1 \circ \pi$. Taking the Stein-factorization of g, we get the induced fibration $f : X' \longrightarrow W$. A general fiber of f is a smooth curve of genus 2 by assumption of the theorem. Let S_1 be the movable part of $|\pi^*(\overline{M})|$. Then we have

$$K_{X'} = \pi^*(K_X) + E = \pi^*(K_X) + \sum_{i=0}^{p} a_i E_i$$

and $\pi^*(\overline{M}) \sim S_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p} e_i E_i$. We know that $a_i \geq 0$, $e_i > 0$ and both a_i and e_i are integers for all i. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^*(K_X) = \pi^*(\overline{M}) + \pi^*(\overline{Z}) &= S_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p} e_i E_i + \pi^*(\overline{Z}) \\ &\sim S_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p} e_i' E_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} d_j L_j = S_1 + E',\end{aligned}$$

where $e_i' \geq e_i$, $d_j > 0$, $E_i \neq L_j$ and $L_{j_1} \neq L_{j_2}$ provided $j_1 \neq j_2$. On the surface S_1, set $L := \pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1}$. We also have $S_1|_{S_1} \equiv aC$ where $a \geq p_g(X) - 2$ and C is a general fiber of the restricted fibration $f|_{S_1} : S_1 \longrightarrow f(S_1)$. Note that the above C lies in the same numerical class as that of a general fiber of f. If $L \cdot C \geq 2$, we have already seen in the proof of Theorem 4.1 that $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 4$. From now on, we suppose $L \cdot C = 1$. Note that, in this situation, $|\overline{M}|$ definitely has base points. (Otherwise, π =identity and

$$L \cdot C = K_X|_{S_1} \cdot C = (K_X + S_1)|_{S_1} \cdot C = K_{S_1} \cdot C = 2$$

which contradicts to the assumption $L \cdot C = 1$.)

Denote $E'|_{S_1} := E_V' + E_H'$, where E_V' is the vertical part, *i.e.,* $\dim f|_{S_1}(E_V') = 0$, and E_H' is the horizontal part, *i.e.,* $E_H' \cdot C > 0$. Because $E'|_{S_1} \cdot C = L \cdot C = 1$, we see that $E_H' \cdot C = 1$. This means that E_H' is an irreducible curve and is a section of the restricted fibration $f|_{S_1}$. Denote $E|_{S_1} := E_V + E_H$, where E_V is the vertical part and E_H is the horizontal part. From $K_{S_1} \cdot C = 2$, one sees that $E_H \cdot C = E|_{S_1} \cdot C = 1$. This also means that E_H is an irreducible curve and E_H comes from some exceptional divisor E_i with $a_i = 1$. We may suppose that E_H comes from E_0. Then $a_0 = 1$. Because $e_0' > 0$ and $\pi^*(K_X) \cdot C = 1$, we see that

$e'_0 = 1$ and thus E'_H also comes from E_0. Since $E_0|_{S_1}$ has only one horizontal part, E_H and E'_H coincide with a curve G. Now It is quite clear that

$$E_V = \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i(E_i|_{S_1}) + (E_0|_{S_1} - G),$$

$$E'_V = \sum_{i=1}^{p} e'_i(E_i|_{S_1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} d_j(L_j|_{S_1}) + (E_0|_{S_1} - G).$$

We have the following

Claim. $E_V \leq 2E'_V$.

This is apparently a direct consequence of Lemma 4.2. In fact, we have $a_i \leq 2e_i \leq 2e'_i$ by Lemma 4.2 for all $i > 0$. Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i(E_i|_{S_1}) \leq 2\sum_{i=1}^{p} e'_i(E_i|_{S_1}) \leq 2(\sum_{i=1}^{p} e'_i(E_i|_{S_1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} d_j(L_j|_{S_1})).$$

On the other hand, It is obvious that $E_0|_{S_1} - G \leq 2(E_0|_{S_1} - G)$. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_V &= (E_0|_{S_1} - G) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i(E_i|_{S_1}) \\ &\leq 2(E_0|_{S_1} - G) + 2(\sum_{i=1}^{p} e'_i(E_i|_{S_1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} d_j(L_j|_{S_1})) = 2E'_V \end{aligned}$$

and the claim is proved.

Since that $2E'_V - E_V$ is effective and vertical, we see that $E_V \cdot G \leq 2E'_V \cdot G$. On the surface S_1, we have

$$(K_{S_1} + 2C + G)G = 2p_a(G) - 2 + 2G \cdot C = 2p_a(G) \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(K_{S_1} + 2C + G)G \\ =&((\pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1} + E_V + G + S_1|_{S_1}) + 2C + G)G \\ \leq&(\pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1} + S_1|_{S_1} + G) \cdot G + 2E'_V \cdot G + 2 + G^2 \\ =&2\pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1} \cdot G + E'_V \cdot G + G^2 + 2. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$2\pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1} \cdot G + E'_V \cdot G + G^2 + 2 \geq 0. \tag{4.3}$$

We also have

$$\pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1} \cdot G = S_1|_{S_1} \cdot G + E'_V \cdot G + G^2. \tag{4.4}$$

Combining (4.3) and (4.4), we get

$$3\pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1} \cdot G \geq S_1|_{S_1} \cdot G - 2 \geq p_g(X) - 4.$$

$$\pi^*(K_X)\cdot S_1\cdot E' \geq \pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1}\cdot G \geq \frac{1}{3}(p_g(X)-4).$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_X^3 &= \pi^*(K_X)^3 \geq \pi^*(K_X)^2\cdot S_1 \\ &= \pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1}\cdot S_1|_{S_1} + \pi^*(K_X)|_{S_1}\cdot E'|_{S_1} \\ &\geq (p_g(X)-2) + \frac{1}{3}(p_g(X)-4) = \frac{2}{3}(2p_g(X)-5). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality is sharp by virtue of (0.1). The proof is complete. □

Remark 4.4. As was pointed out by M. Reid ([R3, Remark (0.4)(v)]), the blow-up of a canonical singularity need not be normal and thus it need not be canonical, even if the original canonical point is a hypersurface singularity of multiplicity 2. Because of this reason, we would rather treat a smooth 3-fold in Theorem 4.3, although the method might be all right for Gorenstein 3-folds.

Lemma 4.5. *Let X be a smooth projective 3-fold of general type. Suppose $p_g(X)\geq 3$, $\dim\phi_1(X)=1$. Keep the same notations as in subsection 1.3. If $(K^2_{F_0}, p_g(F)) = (1,2)$, then one of the following holds:*

(i) $b=1$, $q(X)=1$ and $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X)=0$;

(ii) $b=0$, $q(X)=0$ and $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X)\leq 1$.

Proof. Replacing X by a birational model, if necessary, we may suppose that ϕ_1 is a morphism. Note that we do not need here the minimality of X. Taking the Stein-factorization of ϕ_1, we get a derived fibration $f: X\longrightarrow W$. Let F be a general fiber of f. By assumption, $(K^2_{F_0}, p_g(F)) = (1,2)$ where F_0 is the minimal model of F. According to [Ch2, Theorem 1], we see that $b=g(W)\leq 1$ whenever $p_g(X)\geq 3$. Because $q(F)=0$, we can easily see that $q(X)=b$ and $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X)=h^1(W, f_*\omega_X)$. In order to prove the lemma, It is sufficient to study $h^1(W, f_*\omega_X)$. Since we are in a very special situation, we should be able to obtain much more explicit information.

Let $\mathcal{L}_0$ be the saturated sub-bundle of $f_*\omega_X$ which is generated by $H^0(W, f_*\omega_X)$. Because $|K_X|$ is composed of a pencil of surfaces and ϕ_1 factors through f, we see that $\mathcal{L}_0$ is a line bundle on W. Denote $\mathcal{L}_1 := f_*\omega_X/\mathcal{L}_0$. Then we have the exact sequence:

$$0\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_0\longrightarrow f_*\omega_X\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_1\longrightarrow 0.$$

Noting that $\mathrm{rk}(f_*\omega_X)=2$, we see that $\mathcal{L}_1$ is also a line bundle. Noting that $H^0(W,\mathcal{L}_0)\cong H^0(W, f_*\omega_X)$, we have $h^1(W,\mathcal{L}_0)\geq h^0(W,\mathcal{L}_1)$. When $b=1$, $\deg(\mathcal{L}_0) = p_g(X)\geq 3$. When $b=0$, $\deg(\mathcal{L}_0) = p_g(X)-1\geq 2$. Anyway, we have $h^1(W,\mathcal{L}_0)=0$. So $h^0(W,\mathcal{L}_1)=0$. On the other hand, It is well-known that $f_*\omega_{X/W}$ is semi-positive. Thus $\deg(\mathcal{L}_1\otimes\omega_W^{-1})\geq 0$. This means $\deg(\mathcal{L}_1)\geq 2(b-1)$. Using the R-R, we may easily deduce that $h^1(\mathcal{L}_1)\leq 1-b$. So

$$h^1(W, f_*\omega_X))\leq h^1(W,\mathcal{L}_0)+h^1(W,\mathcal{L}_1)\leq 1-b.$$

So $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X)\leq 1-b$. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let X be a smooth projective 3-fold of general type. Suppose $p_g(X) \geq 3$, $\dim\phi_1(X) = 1$ and $(K^2_{F_0}, p_g(F)) = (1, 2)$. Let $f : X \longrightarrow W$ be a derived fibration of ϕ_1. Suppose F_1 and F_2 are two fixed smooth fibres of f such that $\phi_1(F_1) \neq \phi_1(F_2)$. Then $\dim\Phi_{|K_X+F_1+F_2|}(X) = 2$ and $\Phi_{|K_X+F_1+F_2|}|_F = \Phi_{|K_F|}$ for a general fiber F.*

Proof. (i). If $b = 1$, we have $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ by Lemma 4.5. From the exact sequence

$$H^0(X, K_X + F_1 + F_2) \longrightarrow H^0(F_1, K_{F_1}) \oplus H^0(F_2, K_{F_2}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

one may easily see that $\dim\Phi_{|K_X+F_1+F_2|}(X) = 2$. Thus, for a general fiber F, $\dim\Phi_{|K_X+F_1+F_2|}(F) = 1$. Since $p_g(F) = 2$, one sees that $\Phi_{|K_X+F_1+F_2|}|_F = \Phi_{|K_F|}$.

(ii). If $b = 0$, we only have to study $|K_X + 2F_1||_F$ for a general fiber F. From the short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + F_1 - F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + F_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_F(K_F) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow H^0(X, K_X + F_1) &\xrightarrow{\alpha_1} H^0(F, K_F) \xrightarrow{\beta_1} H^1(X, K_X) \\ &\longrightarrow H^1(X, K_X + F_1) \longrightarrow H^1(F, K_F) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

If α_1 is surjective for general F, then we see that

$$\dim\Phi_{|K_X+F_1|}(F) = \dim\Phi_{|K_F|}(F) = 1 \text{ and } \dim\Phi_{|K_X+F_1|}(X) = 2.$$

So $\dim\Phi_{|K_X+2F_1|}(X) = 2$. We are done. Otherwise, α_1 is not surjective. Because $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, we see that $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^1(X, K_X) \geq 1$. Because $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \leq 1$, $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ and β_1 is surjective. Therefore $H^1(X, K_X + F_1) = 0$. This also means that $H^1(X, K_X+F') = 0$ for any smooth fiber F' since $F' \sim F_1$. So we have $H^1(X, K_X+2F_1 - F) = 0$, which means $|K_X + 2F_1||_F = |K_F|$. So $\dim\Phi_{|K_X+2F_1|}(X) = 2$. The proof is complete. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let X be a smooth projective 3-fold with ample canonical divisor. Suppose $\dim\phi_1(X) = 1$ and X is canonically fibered by surfaces with invariants $(c_1^2, p_g) = (1, 2)$. Then $K_X^3 \geq \frac{2}{3}(2p_g(X) - 7)$.*

Proof. The proof is slightly longer, however with the same flavour as that of Theorem 4.3.

Denote by $\overline{F}$ a generic irreducible element of $|K_X|$. We see that $\overline{F}^2$ is a 1-cycle on X. If the movable part of $|K_X|$ has base points, then $\overline{F}^2$ is a non-trivial effective 1-cycle. So $K_X \cdot \overline{F}^2 \geq 2$. Thus $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 2$. Therefore we only have to treat the case when ϕ_1 is a morphism.

We suppose $p_g(X) \geq 3$. We still assume that $f : X \longrightarrow W$ is a derived fibration of ϕ_1. Note that $b = g(W) \leq 1$. Let $\overline{M}$ be the movable part of $|K_X + F_1 + F_2|$. Also note that F is minimal in this situation and $(K_F^2, p_g(F)) = (1, 2)$. It is well-known that $|K_F|$ has exactly one base point, but no fixed part, and that a general member of $|K_F|$ is a smooth irreducible curve of genus 2. Since $|K_X+F_1+F_2||_F = |K_F|$ and according to Lemma 2.6, we see that $\overline{M}|_F = K_F$. This means that $|\overline{M}|$ definitely has base points. According to Hironaka, we can take successive blow-ups

$$\pi : X' = X_n \overset{\pi_n}{\to} X_{n-1} \to \cdots \to X_i \overset{\pi_i}{\to} X_{i-1} \to \cdots \to X_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\to} X_0 = X$$

such that

(i) π_i is a single blow-up along smooth center W_i on X_{i-1} for all i;

(ii) W_i is contained in the base locus of the movable part of

$$|(\pi_1 \circ \pi_2 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{i-1})^*(\overline{M})|$$

and thus W_i is a reduced closed point or a smooth projective curve on X_{i-1};

(iii) the movable part of $|\pi^*(\overline{M})|$ has no base points.

Denote by E_i the exceptional divisor on X' corresponding to W_i for all i. Note that the resulting 3-fold X' is still smooth. Let M be the movable part of $|\pi^*(\overline{M})|$ and $S \in |M|$ be a general member. Then S is a smooth irreducible projective surface of general type. Denote $f' := f \circ \pi$. Then $f' : X' \longrightarrow W$ is still a fibration. Let F' be a general fiber of f'. Note that F' has the minimal model F. We may write

$$K_{X'} \sim \pi^*(K_X) + \sum_{i=0}^{p} a_i E_i = \pi^*(K_X) + E$$

and $\pi^*(\overline{M}) = M + \sum_{i=0}^{p} e_i E_i$. According to Lemma 4.2, we have $0 < a_i \leq 2e_i$ for all i. Recall that we have $K_X \sim S_1 + Z = \sum_{i=1}^{b_1} F_i + Z$, where $b_1 \geq p_g(X) - 1$, the $F_i's$ are fibers of f, S_1 is the movable part of $|K_X|$ and Z the fixed part of $|K_X|$. Note that there is an effective divisor $Z_0 \leq Z$ such that $\overline{M} \sim S_1 + F_1 + F_2 + Z_0$. We write

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^*(K_X + F_1 + F_2) \sim \pi^*(\overline{M} + Z - Z_0) &= M + \sum_{i=0}^{p} e_i E_i + \pi^*(Z - Z_0) \\ &= M + \sum_{i=0}^{p} e_i' E_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} d_j L_j =: M + E',\end{aligned}$$

where $E_i \neq L_j$, $d_j > 0$, $e_i' \geq e_i$ for all i and $L_{j_1} \neq L_{j_2}$ whenever $j_1 \neq j_2$. Note that $\pi^*(\overline{M}) \geq \pi^*(S_1 + F_1 + F_2)$ and that the strict transform of S_1 is a union of b_1 fibers of f', we see that

$$M|_S \geq \sum_{j=1}^{b_1+m} F_j'|_S \equiv (b_1 + m)F'|_S$$

where the $F_j's$ are fibers of f' and $m = 2$. Because $\dim\Phi_{|M|}(X') = 2$, we see $\dim\Phi_{|M|}(S) = 1$ for a general member S. So, on S, the system $|M|_S|$ should be composed of a free pencil of curves since $(M|_S)^2 = M^3 = 0$. On the other hand, we obviously have $H^0(X', K_{X'} - S) = 0$. This instantly gives the inclusion $H^0(X', K_{X'}) \hookrightarrow H^0(S, K_{X'}|_S)$. So $\dim\Phi_{|K_{X'}|}(S) \geq 1$. Because $\dim\phi_1(X) = 1$, we see that $\dim\Phi_{|K_{X'}|}(S) = 1$. Thus It is clear $f'(S) = W$. So we have a surjective morphism $f'|_S : S \longrightarrow W$. The fiber of $f'|_S$ is exactly $F' \cap S$ or the divisor $F'|_S$. Since $|M|_S|$ is composed of a pencil of curves, $M|_S \geq \sum_{j=1}^{b_1+m} F_j'|_S$ and $|\sum_{j=1}^{b_1+m} F_j'|_S|$ is vertical, we see that $|M|_S|$ is also vertical, i.e. $\dim f'|_S(M|_S) = 0$. This means that the divisor $M|_S$ is vertical with respect to the morphism $f'|_S$. By taking the Stein-factorization of $f'|_S$, one can see that $F'|_S$ is linearly equivalent to a disjoint union of irreducible curves of the same numerical type and $F'|_S \equiv \xi C$ where C is certain irreducible curve and ξ is a positive integer.

Recall that $E' := \sum_{i=0}^{p} e'_i E_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} d_j L_j$. We may write $E'|_S := E'_V + E'_H$ where E'_V is the vertical part and E'_H is the horizontal part with $E'_H \cdot F'|_S > 0$. Noting that $\pi^*(K_X + F_1 + F_2)|_S$ is nef and big and that $M|_S$ is vertical, we see that E'_H is non-trivial. So we have

$$\pi^*(K_X + F_1 + F_2)|_S = M|_S + E'|_S = M|_S + E'_V + E'_H.$$

Also recall that $E := \sum_{i=0}^{p} a_i E_i$. Denote $E|_S := E_V + E_H$ where E_V is the vertical part and E_H is the horizontal part. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < F'|_S \cdot E'_H &= F'|_S \cdot E'|_S = F'|_S \cdot \pi^*(K_X + F_1 + F_2)|_S \\ &= F' \cdot \pi^*(K_X + F_1 + F_2) \cdot S \\ &\leq F' \cdot \pi^*(K_X + F_1 + F_2) \cdot \pi^*(K_X + F_1 + F_2) = K_X^2 \cdot F = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This means

$$F'|_S \cdot E'_H = F'|_S \cdot \pi^*(K_X)|_S = 1, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\pi^*(F_1)|_S \cdot F'|_S = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Thus we see that $\xi = 1$ and thus $f'|_S : S \longrightarrow W$ is a fibration. This also means that E'_H is irreducible and that it comes from certain irreducible component of E'. For generic S and F', because $S|_{F'}$ is the movable part of $|K_{F'}|$, we see that $S|_{F'}$ is an irreducible curve of genus two. This means $C = S \cap F'$ is a smooth curve of genus 2 on S and $C^2 = (F'|_S)^2 = 0$. Thus $K_S \cdot C = 2$, i.e.

$$(E_V + E_H + \pi^*(K_X)|_S + S|_S) \cdot C = 2.$$

Noting that, from (4.5), $S|_S \cdot C = M|_S \cdot F'|_S = 0$ and $\pi^*(K_X) \cdot C = 1$, we have $E_H \cdot C = 1$. This also says that E_H comes from certain irreducible component E_i in E with $a_i = 1$. For simplicity we may suppose that this component is just E_0. So $a_0 = 1$. Now It is quite clear about the structure of $E'|_S$ and $E|_S$:

$$E_H = E'_H \leq E_0|_S, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i(E_i|_S) + (E_0|_S - E_H) = E_V,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} e'_i(E_i|_S) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} d_j(L_j|_S) + (E_0|_S - E'_H) = E'_V.$$

Noting that $E_0|_S$ can have only one horizontal component, we denote it by $G := E_H = E'_H$. Similar to the Claim in the proof of Theorem 4.3, It is easy to see that $E_V \leq 2E'_V$.

Now we may perform the computation on the surface S. We have

$$(K_S + G + 2(1-b)F'|_S) \cdot G = 2p_a(G) - 2 + 2(1-b) \geq 0.$$

(One notes that $p_a(G) \geq 1$ if $b = 1$ and $p_a(G) \geq 0$ if $b = 0$.)

$$\begin{aligned} K_S \cdot G &= (E|_S + \pi^*(K_X)|_S + S|_S) \cdot G = E_V \cdot G + G^2 + \pi^*(K_X)|_S \cdot G + S|_S \cdot G \\ &\leq 2E'_V \cdot G + G^2 + S|_S \cdot G + \pi^*(K_X)|_S \cdot G \\ &= E'_V \cdot G + \pi^*(K_X + F_1 + F_2)|_S \cdot G + \pi^*(K_X)|_S \cdot G. \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$E'_V \cdot G + \pi^*(2K_X + F_1 + F_2)|_S \cdot G + G^2 + 2(1-b) \geq 0. \tag{4.7}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^*(K_X + F_1 + F_2)|_S \cdot G &= S|_S \cdot G + E'_V \cdot G + G^2 \\ &\geq (b_1 + m)F'|_S \cdot G + E'_V \cdot G + G^2, \end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

where we note that $S|_S$ is vertical and, numerically, $S|_S \geq_{\text{num}} (b_1+m)F'|_S$ and $F'|_S \cdot G = 1$ by (4.5). Combining (4.7) and (4.8), we get

$$\pi^*(3K_X + 2F_1 + 2F_2)|_S \cdot G \geq (b_1 + m) + 2(b-1).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi^*(3K_X + 2F_1 + 2F_2)|_S \cdot G \leq \pi^*(3K_X + 2F_1 + 2F_2)|_S \cdot E'|_S \\ =&\pi^*(3K_X + 2F_1 + 2F_2)|_S \cdot (\pi^*(K_X + F_1 + F_2)|_S - S|_S) \\ =&\pi^*(3K_X + 2F_1 + 2F_2)|_S \cdot \pi^*(K_X + F_1 + F_2)|_S - \pi^*(3K_X + 2F_1 + 2F_2)|_S \cdot S|_S \\ \leq&(3K_X + 2F_1 + 2F_2)(K_X + F_1 + F_2)^2 - \pi^*(3K_X + 2F_1 + 2F_2)|_S \cdot S|_S \\ =&3K_X^3 + 8m - \pi^*(3K_X + 2F_1 + 2F_2)|_S \cdot S|_S.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $3K_X^3 \geq b_1 - 7m + 2(b-1) + \pi^*(3K_X + 2F_1 + 2F_2)|_S \cdot S|_S$. By (4.5) and (4.6), we get

$$\pi^*(3K_X + 2F_1 + 2F_2)|_S \cdot S|_S \geq \pi^*(3K_X + 2F_1 + 2F_2)|_S \cdot (b_1 + m)F'|_S = 3(b_1 + m).$$

So $3K_X^3 \geq 4b_1 - 4m + 2(b-1)$. We obtain

$$K_X^3 \geq \frac{4}{3}b_1 - \frac{4}{3}m + \frac{2}{3}(b-1) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{4}{3}p_g(X) - \frac{8}{3}, & \text{if } b = 1 \\ \frac{4}{3}p_g(X) - \frac{14}{3}, & \text{if } b = 0. \end{cases}$$

Finally, we discuss what happens when $K_X^3 > \frac{4}{3}p_g(X) - \frac{10}{3}$. Definitely, $b = 0$ and $3K_X^3 = 4p_g(X) - 11$, $4p_g(X) - 12$, $4p_g(X) - 13$ or $4p_g(X) - 14$. Noting that K_X^3 is an even number, one excludes possibilities $4p_g(X) - 11$ and $4p_g(X) - 13$. The proof is complete. □

Corollary 4.8. *Let X be a smooth projective 3-fold with ample canonical divisor. Then we have the following Noether inequality*

$$K_X^3 \geq \frac{2}{3}(2p_g(X) - 7).$$

Proof. This is a direct result of Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.7. □

Corollary 4.8 implies Corollary 2. Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.7 imply Theorem 5(1) and Theorem 5(2).

5. An appendix

We go on proving Theorem 5 in this section.

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type with only locally factorial terminal singularities. Suppose X has a locally factorial canonical model. If $\dim\phi_1(X) = 1$ and $(K^2_{F_0}, p_g(F)) = (1, 2)$, then*

$$K^3_X \geq \frac{2}{21}(11p_g(X) - 16).$$

Proof. If the movable part of $|K_X|$ has base points, then we have $K^3_X \geq 2p_g(X) - 2$ according to [Kob, Case 1, Theorem (4.1)] because X is assumed to have a locally factorial canonical model. So we may suppose $\Phi_{|K_X|}$ is a morphism.

Taking the Stein-factorization of $\Phi_{|K_X|}$, we get the derived fibration $f : X \longrightarrow W$. Let M_1 be the movable part of $|K_X|$ and $S_1 \in |M_1|$ a general member. We may write $S_1 \sim \sum_{i=1}^{b_1} F_i \equiv b_1 F$, where the $F_i's$ are fibers of f, F is a general fiber of f and $b_1 \geq p_g(X) - 1$. Because X is minimal, F is a minimal surface. Since X has isolated singularities, F is smooth. Note that we have $K^2_F = 1$ and $p_g(F) = 2$ under the assumption of the proposition. We may also write $K_X \equiv b_1 F + Z$, where Z is the fixed part of $|K_X|$. According to [Ch2, Theorem 1], we have $b := g(W) \leq 1$ provided $p_g(X) \geq 3$. From [L], we know that $|4K_X|$ is base point free. Let $S_4 \in |4K_X|$ be a general member. Since X has isolated singularities, S_4 is a smooth projective irreducible surface of general type. we see that $f(S_4) = W$. Denote $f_0 := f|_{S_4}$. Then $f_0 : S_4 \longrightarrow W$ is a proper surjective morphism onto W (f_0 need not be a fibration). Because $f(F)$ is a point, $F|_{S_4}$ is vertical with respect to f_0, *i.e.,* $\dim f_0(F|_{S_4}) = 0$. Now we have $K_X|_{S_4} \equiv b_1 F|_{S_4} + Z|_{S_4}$. Denote $Z|_{S_4} := Z_V + Z_H$, where Z_V is the vertical part and Z_H is the horizontal part. We may write $Z_H := \sum m_i G_i$, where $m_i > 0$ and the $G_i's$ are distinct irreducible curves on S_4. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(F|_{S_4} \cdot Z_H)_{S_4} &= (F|_{S_4} \cdot Z|_{S_4})_{S_4} = (F \cdot S_4 \cdot Z)_X \\ =&(S_4|_F \cdot Z|_F)_F = 4(K_X|_F \cdot K_X|_F)_F = 4K^2_F = 4.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $m_i \leq 4$ for all i. Denote

$$D := 4K_{S_4} - 8(b-1)F|_{S_4} + Z_V + Z_H.$$

We claim that $D \cdot G_i \geq 0$ for all i. In fact, since $Z_V \cdot G_i \geq 0$ and $G_i \cdot G_j \geq 0$ for $i \neq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}D \cdot G_i &\geq 4K_{S_4} \cdot G_i - 8(b-1)F|_{S_4} \cdot G_i + m_i G_i^2 \\ &= (4 - m_i)K_{S_4} \cdot G_i + m_i(K_{S_4} \cdot G_i + G_i^2) - 8(b-1)F|_{S_4} \cdot G_i \\ &= (4 - m_i)K_{S_4} \cdot G_i + m_i(2p_a(G_i) - 2) - 8(b-1)F|_{S_4} \cdot G_i.\end{aligned}$$

Note that both K_{S_4} and $F|_{S_4}$ are nef. When $b = 1$, we have $p_a(G_i) \geq b = 1$. Thus $D \cdot G_i \geq (4 - m_i)K_{S_4} \cdot G_i \geq 0$. When $b = 0$,

$$D \cdot G_i \geq (4 - m_i)K_{S_4} \cdot G_i + (8 - 2m_i)F|_{S_4} \cdot G_i + m_i[2p_a(G_i) - 2 + 2F|_{S_4} \cdot G_i] \geq 0.$$

Therefore we get $D \cdot Z_H \geq 0$. This means

$$4K_{S_4} \cdot Z_H - 8(b-1)F|_{S_4} \cdot Z_H + (Z_V + Z_H)Z_H \geq 0. \tag{5.1}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$K_X|_{S_4} \cdot Z_H = b_1 F|_{S_4} \cdot Z_H + (Z_V + Z_H)Z_H. \tag{5.2}$$

Combining (5.1) and (5.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 4K_{S_4} \cdot Z_H + K_X|_{S_4} \cdot Z_H &\geq (b_1 + 8(b-1))F|_{S_4} \cdot Z_H \\ &\geq 4(p_g(X) + 10b - 11). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} &4K_{S_4} \cdot Z_H + K_X|_{S_4} \cdot Z_H = 5K_X|_{S_4} \cdot Z_H + 4S_4|_{S_4} \cdot Z_H \\ \leq &5K_X|_{S_4} \cdot Z|_{S_4} + 4S_4|_{S_4} \cdot Z|_{S_4} = 84K_X^2 \cdot Z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$K_X^2 \cdot Z \geq \frac{1}{21}(p_g(X) + 10b - 11) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{21}(p_g(X) - 11), & \text{if } b = 0, \\ \frac{1}{21}(p_g(X) - 1), & \text{if } b = 1. \end{cases}$$

Finally we get

$$K_X^3 \geq b_1 K_X^2 \cdot F + K_X^2 \cdot Z \geq \begin{cases} \frac{2}{21}(11p_g(X) - 16), & \text{if } b = 0, \\ \frac{22}{21}(p_g(X) - 1), & \text{if } b = 1. \end{cases}$$

The proof is complete. □

Section 4 and Proposition 5.1 imply Theorem 5(3).

Acknowledgment

This paper was begun when the author was a postdoctor at Georg-August Universitat Goettingen, Germany between October 1999 and August 2000. The author thanks F. Catanese and M. Reid for generous helps. Especially this note benefited a lot from frequent discussions between professor Catanese and the author while at Goettingen. Special thanks are due to both M. Kobayashi who kindly answered the author's query on his paper through email and Seunghun Lee who pointed out an error to the author in the proof of Lemma 4.2 in the first draft of the paper. Finally the author heartly appreciates the referee's invaluable suggestions which essentially make this note more readable and better organized.

References

[Be1] A. Beauville, *L'application canonique pour les surfaces de type général*, Invent. Math. **55**(1979), 121-140.

[Bo] E. Bombieri, *Canonical models of surfaces of general type*, Publications I.H.E.S. **42**(1973), 171-219.

[Cas] G. Castelnuovo, *Osservazioni intorno alla geometria sopra una superficie, I, II*, Rendiconti del R. Istituto Lombardo, s. II, **24**(1891), also in 'Memorie scelte', Zanichelli (1937), Bologna, 245-265.

[Cat1] F. Catanese, *Surfaces with $K^2 = p_g = 1$ and their period mapping*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **732**(1979), pp. 1-29.

[Ch1] M. Chen, *An inequality with regard to the canonical birationality for varieties*, Commun. Algebra **23**(1995), 4439-4446.

[Ch2] ——, *Complex varieties of general type whose canonical systems are composed with pencils*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **51**(1999), 331-335.

[Ch3] ——, *Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing and quint-canonical maps for threefolds of general type*, Commun. Algebra **27**(1999), 5471-5486.

[Ch4] ——, *Canonical stability in terms of singularity index for algebraic threefolds*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **131**(2001), 241-264.

[Ci] C. Ciliberto, *The bicanonical map for surfaces of general type*, Proc. Symposia in Pure Math. **62**(1997), 57-83.

[E-L] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, *Global generation of pluricanonical and adjoint linear systems on smooth projective threefolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6**(1993), 875-903.

[F] T. Fujita, *On Kahler fiber spaces over curves*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **30** (1978), 779-794.

[Hrs] J. Harris, *A bound on the geometric genus of projective varieties*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa **8**(1981), 35-68.

[Hi] H. Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero*, I, Ann. of Math. **79**(1964), 109-203, II, ibid., 205-326.

[Ka1] Y. Kawamata, *A generalization of Kodaira-Ramanujam's vanishing theorem*, Math. Ann. **261**(1982), 43-46.

[KMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10**(1987), 283-360.

[Kob] M. Kobayashi, *On Noether's inequality for threefolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **44**(1992), 145-156.

[Kol] J. Kollár, *Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves*, I, Ann. of Math. **123**(1986), 11-42; II, ibid. **124**(1986), 171-202.

[L] S. Lee, *Quartic-canonical systems on canonical threefolds of index 1*, Commun. Algebra **28**(2000), 5517-5530.

[M1] Y. Miyaoka, *On the chern numbers of surfaces of general type*, Invent. Math. **42**(1977), 225-237.

[M2] ——, *The pseudo-effectivity of $3c_2 - c_1^2$ for varieties with numerically effective canonical classes*, Algebraic Geometry, Sendai, 1985. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10**(1987), 449-476.

[M3] ——, *On the Mumford-Ramanujam vanishing theorem on a surface.* Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers (A. Beauville, ed.), Sijthoff and Noordhof, Alphen ann den Rijn 1980, 239-247.

[N] M. Noether, *Zur Theorie des eindeutigen Entsprechens algebraischer Gebilde*, Math. Ann. 2(1870), 293-316; **8**(1875), 495-533.

[R1] M. Reid, *Young person's guide to canonical singularities*, Proc. Symposia in pure Math. **46**(1987), 345-414.

[R2] ——, *Minimal models of canonical 3-folds*, Algebraic Varieties and Analytic Varieties (S. Itaka, ed.), Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **1**(1983), 131-180.

[R3] ——, *Canonical 3-folds*, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers (A.

Beauville, ed.), Sijthoff and Noordhof, Alphen ann den Rijn 1980, 273-310.

[Rr] I. Reider, Vector bundles of rank 2 and linear systems on algebraic surfaces, *Ann. Math.* **127**(1988), 309-316.

[S] F. Sakai, *Weil divisors on normal surfaces*, Duke Math. J. **51**(1984), 877-887.

[V1] E. Viehweg, *Vanishing theorems*, J. reine angew. Math. **335**(1982), 1-8.

[V2] ——, *Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension for certain fibre spaces*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **1**(1983), 329-353.

[V3] ——, *Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension, II: The local Torelli map*, Classification of algebraic and analytic manifolds, Prog. Math. **39**(1983), 567-589.

[Y1] S. T. Yau, *Calabi's conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **74**(1977), 1798-1799.

[Y2] ——, *On the Ricci curvature of a complex Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampere equations*, Commun. Pure and Appl. Math. **31**(1978), 339-411.

Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University
Shanghai, 200433, PR China
E-mail address: **meng@x263.net**